UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,617	06/23/2003	Louis A. Lippincott	42P17012	8912
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY SUNDING A 04005 4040			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, HAU H	
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2628	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/21/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/601,617	LIPPINCOTT ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	HAU H. NGUYEN	2628		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27</u> 2a) ■ This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ Th 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, p			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1,3-6,8-21 and 23-27 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3-6,8-21 and 23-27 is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	rawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a constant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the specific part of the sp	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is contact the drawing of the drawing	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:			

10/601,617 Art Unit: 2628

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/27/2008 has been entered.

Specification Objection

2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The claimed subject matter in claims 6, 8-10 is not supported by the specification. In particular, the Specification does not provide any definition of "a machine readable storage medium".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-6, 8-21, 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dao et al. (US. Patent No. 6,275,891) ("Dao", hereinafter) Giacalone et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,930,689, "Giacalone", hereinafter).

As per claim 1, Dao teaches a method comprising:

detecting an update to a register file accessible by a plurality of processing elements of a media signal processor when a processing element desires ownership of a selected hardware accelerator; (Dao teaches the media signal processor 206 comprises a plurality of processing elements DSP 0-DSP L-1 and a plurality of hardware accelerators ACCEL 0 – ACCEL M-1 (Fig. 2), wherein as shown in Fig. 4, processors can communicate by writing mailbox messages to other processors, see col. 6, lines 37-65, when the DSP 320 desires ownership to a selected hardware accelerator 318, it updates the registers in the mailbox to perform a function such as IDCT, the selected hardware accelerator 318 is thus granted the ownership to the DSP, see col. 8, lines 15-32);

enabling a hardware accelerator selected from a plurality of hardware accelerators in response to one or more address bit of a register within the register file that is set by a processing element when the processing element desires ownership of the selected hardware accelerator (Dao teaches the traffic master 204 handles processor to processor data transfers (col. 5, lines 6-14), and when a processor unit (e.g. a DSP) desires to access another processor unit (such as hardware accelerator) through the traffic master 204, the request processor unit should provide (besides address and data) an enable signal on one of the control lines, see col. 4, lines 32-53, and also writing to the mailbox of the selected hardware accelerator, as cited above in col. 8, lines 15-32); and

granting the processing element ownership over the selected hardware accelerator (the traffic master assert the "ready" signal to indicate that data is available, col. 4, lines 50-53, see also col. 8, lines 15-32 for an example of operation), the selected hardware accelerator to

perform a media processing function according to the detected control command (as cited above).

Dao fails to teach enabling the hardware accelerator... in response to one or more address bits and a command detected within a register within the register file that are written by the processing element to identify and request the ownership of the selected hardware accelerator. However, Giacalone teaches this feature. Giacalone teaches a method of selecting a hardware accelerator from a plurality of hardware accelerators for performing media processing in response to a request from a processing element as shown in Figs. 16-19 and their disclosure, wherein the selection of the hardware accelerator is enabled in one or more address bits and a control command detected within the register file (see Table 2, col. 8, lines 20-47, and col. 16, lines 1-21).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to utilize the method as taught by Giacalone in combination with the method as taught by Dao in order for the hardware accelerators to perform computation intensive functions much more efficiently, and reduce power consumption and hardware cost (see col. 23, lines 13-28).

As per claim 3, Dao further teaches *enabling the selected hardware* accelerator comprises:

designating at least one register within the register file to receive control commands from the plurality of processing elements (as cited above, the processor units (e.g. DSP) communicates with other processor units (such as hardware processor) by writing mailbox messages, col., lines 57-60); and

activating the selected hardware accelerator to perform a media processing function according to a control command detected within the register (col. 8, lines 15-32).

As per claim 4, Dao further teaches:

providing a selection unit coupled to the plurality of hardware accelerators (the traffic master 204, Fig. 2);

designating at least one register within the register file to receive control commands from the plurality of processing elements (asserting an enable signal to the control lines as cited above);

directing the selection unit to provide a processing element with access to a selected hardware accelerators (the traffic master 204 assert the ready signal to the requesting processor unit to indicate data is available, col. 4, lines 32-53); and

directing the selecting hardware accelerator to perform a media processing function according to a received control command (col. 8, lines 15-32).

As per claim 5, Dao also the step of *activating the selected hardware accelerator comprises*:

identifying a processing element having written the control command (determined by the traffic master 204 to identify which processor unit has asserted an enable signal, col. 4, lines 32-53);

determining, according to the control command, an input data stream for the selected hardware accelerator;

determining, according to the control command, an output data stream for the selected hardware accelerator (i.e., the hardware accelerator, based on the mailbox message written by

the DSP, determines when to input data stream for processing, and when to output the data stream to the DSP, see col. 8, lines 15-32);

directing the selecting hardware accelerator to perform a media processing function according to a received control command (as cited above, col. 8, lines 15-32);

updating a control bit within a register of the register file to indicate whether data is available for one or more data dependent processing elements (as also cited above, the traffic master 204 asserts the ready signal to indicate data is available); and

requiring the one or more data dependent processing elements to wait to execute instructions until the data it needs to execute the instructions is available in one or more registers (Fig. 4, the MMU 406 resolves any conflicts (by delaying access attempts blocked by higher-priority accesses) and places conflict-free access patterns in the request queue 408, col. 6, lines 2-11).

Claim 6, which is similar in scope to claim 1, is thus rejected under the same rationale.

Claim 8, which is similar in scope to claim 3, is thus rejected under the same rationale.

Claim 9, which is similar in scope to claim 4, is thus rejected under the same rationale.

Claim 10, which is similar in scope to claim 5, is thus rejected under the same rationale.

As per claim 11, as shown in Fig. 2, Dao teaches a processor 206, comprising:

a plurality of processing elements (DSP0 – DSP L-1);

a plurality of hardware accelerators (ACCEL0-ACCEL m-1) coupled to a selection unit (traffic master 204); and

a register file coupled to the selection unit and the plurality of processing elements, the register file including a plurality of general purpose registers accessible by the plurality of

hardware accelerators, the selection unit and the plurality of processing elements, at least one of the general purpose registers including at least one bit to allow a processing element to select a hardware accelerator in response to at least one bit of a register within the register file that is set by a processing element when the processing element desires ownership of the selected hardware accelerator; and

a control unit to direct the selection unit to activate the selected hardware accelerator to grant the processing element ownership over the selected hardware accelerator (addressed above, with reference to claims 1 and 4), the selected hardware accelerator to perform a media processing function according to the detected control command (as cited above).

Dao fails to teach the general purpose register file including *one or more address bits* to allow a processing element to *identify and request ownership of* a hardware accelerator in response to *one or more address bit and a control command detected within a register* within the register file.... However, Giacalone teaches this feature. Giacalone teaches a method of selecting a hardware accelerator from a plurality of hardware accelerators for performing media processing in response to a request from a processing element as shown in Figs. 16-19 and their disclosure, wherein the selection of the hardware accelerator is enabled in one or more address bits and a control command detected within the register file (see Table 2, col. 8, lines 20-47, and col. 16, lines 1-21).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to utilize the method as taught by Giacalone in combination with the method as taught by Dao in order for the hardware accelerators to perform computation intensive functions much more efficiently, and reduce power consumption and hardware cost (see col. 23, lines 13-28).

As per claim 12, Dao further teaches wherein the plurality of processing elements comprise:

an input processing element coupled to said register file, the input processing element to receive input data (i.e. processor unit that request for reading data); and

an output processing element coupled to the register file, the output processing element to transmit data (i.e. transmitting data to the hardware accelerator in the example on col. 8, lines 15-32).

Claim 13, which is similar in scope to claim 4, is thus rejected under the same rationale.

As per claim 14, Dao further teaches wherein the control unit to identify a processing element having written a control command, and set a control bit within a register of the register file to indicate when data is available for the identified processing element from the selected hardware accelerator (determined by the traffic master 204 to identify which processor unit has asserted an enable signal, and assert the ready signal to the processor unit when data is available, col. 4, lines 32-53);

As per claim 15, Dao further teaches a processing element to set a bit when the processing element desires selection of a hardware accelerator (as cited above) and set one more bits to identify one or more data dependent processing elements to prevent the identified processing elements from executing instructions until the one or more bits are reset (col. 6, lines 60-65, i.e., the programmed write permission will prevent access of the DSP to the hardware accelerator in use in order to avoid conflict, see also col. 6, lines 2-10).

As per claim 16, as cited above, Dao teaches the processing element to write a control command to a at least one register within the register file to direct a selected hardware

Application/Control Number:

10/601,617

Art Unit: 2628

accelerator to perform a media processing function according to the control command (referring to claim 3) and set a control bit to indicate the selected hardware accelerator is in use (it is implied since the MMU control the request queue 408 free of conflict, col. 6, lines 2-10).

As per claim 17, Dao also teaches a processing element to set one or more control bits within a register of the register file to identify one or more data dependent processing elements to stall the identified processing elements and prohibit execution of instructions until data required by the identified processing elements is available in one or more registers (i.e. delaying access attempts blocked by higher priority accesses, col. 6, lines 2-10).

As per claims 18-20, Dao also teaches the hardware accelerators used in a multimedia system, therefore, including video processing hardware accelerators, audio processing hardware accelerators, and image processing hardware accelerators (col. 7, lines 63-65, and col. 1, lines 14-19).

As per claim 21, the scope of which is similar to claim 11, has been addressed above, further requires a plurality of media signal processors are coupled together, and a memory interface coupled to one or more of the media processors; and a random access memory coupled to the memory interface. This is also taught by Dao with reference to Fig. 3, memory interface 324, and memory 322. Dao further teaches the system are scalable (col. 8, lines 43-46), which means that plurality of media processors can be coupled together.

Claim 23, which is similar in scope to claim 14, is thus rejected under the same rationale. Claim 24, which is similar in scope to claim 15, is thus rejected under the same rationale. Claim 25, which is similar in scope to claim 16, is thus rejected under the same rationale. Claim 26, which is similar in scope to claim 17, is thus rejected under the same rationale.

5. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dao et al. (US. Patent No. 6,275,891) in view of Giacalone et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,930,689) and further in view of McDonald et al. (U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2003/0028751).

As per claims 27, although the combined Dao-Giacalone reference fails to explicitly teach or suggest the RAM is a SDRAM and/or DDRSDRAM, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the present invention was made to replace the random access memory as taught by Dao-Giacalone with SDRAM or DDRSDRAM as indicated in McDonald et al. (Fig. 1, memory 16 can be an SDRAM, see page 2, par. 28) since SDRAM can be synchronized with the system bus, and thus, can be programmable for inputs as well as outputs.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to all the remaining claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection above.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hau H. Nguyen whose telephone number is: 571-272-7787. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-FRI from 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kee Tung can be reached on (571) 272-7794.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/601,617

Art Unit: 2628

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Page 11

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Hau H Nguyen/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2628